Effectiveness of Financial Cooperatives as Cost-Effective Models for Financing Rural Economies in Nigeria

Otaokpukpu Justina Njideka
Department of Business Administration and Management
Federal Polytechnic Oko, Anambra State
justinaottah@gmail.com

Dr Nwankwo Leopold Arinze
Department of Business Administration and Management
Federal Polytechnic Oko, Anambra State

Dr Uneze Chijioke Uchechukwu
Department of Agricultural Education
Federal College Education (Technical)
Umunze, Anambra State

DOI: 10.56201/ijssmr.v9.no11.2023.pg239.249

Abstract

Financial cooperatives, commonly known as credit unions or savings and credit cooperative societies (SACCOs), have emerged as integral components of Nigeria's financial landscape, especially in rural areas. This paper explores the effectiveness of financial cooperatives as cost-effective models for financing rural economies. The study delves into their structure, benefits, challenges, and impact on rural communities, with a focus on Nigeria's unique context. Financial cooperatives, characterized by member ownership and control, aim to provide affordable financial services to low-income populations. In Nigeria, where rural areas face challenges in accessing traditional banking services, financial cooperatives have been promoted as a sustainable approach to extend financial inclusivity. SACCOs leverage member savings to offer loans at reasonable interest rates, fostering cost-effectiveness compared to other microfinance models. The paper also discusses the structure of the rural economy in Nigeria, its challenges, and potential policy pathways for fostering rural economic growth. The components of financial cooperatives, such as membership, governance, savings mobilization, lending methodology, and regulation, are examined. The study emphasizes the significance of good governance in ensuring cooperatives act in the best interests of their members. It also discusses the positive impact of financial cooperatives on rural communities, offering accessibility, affordability, and contributing to community development. In conclusion, financial cooperatives present a promising model for rural economic development in Nigeria. The paper provides recommendations for enhancing their effectiveness, emphasizing collaboration with regulatory bodies, targeted initiatives for financial inclusion, capacity building, technological adoption, diversification of financial products, community engagement, risk management, collaboration with stakeholders, and knowledge sharing.

Implementation of these recommendations can further strengthen financial cooperatives as engines for rural economic development in Nigeria.

Introduction

Financial cooperatives, also known as credit unions or savings and credit cooperative societies (SACCOs), have become an important part of the financial landscape in many developing countries like Nigeria (Anyanwu, 2004). These member-owned and controlled financial institutions aim to provide affordable financial services to low-income populations who lack access to mainstream financial services (CGAP, 2012). In Nigeria, financial cooperatives have been promoted as a potentially sustainable approach for extending financial services into rural areas where commercial banks do not find it profitable to operate (Oke, Adeyemo & Agbonlahor, 2007). As self-help organizations, SACCOs rely on member savings and deposits to provide loans at reasonable interest rates, making them inherently more cost-effective than other microfinance models.

Financial cooperatives have gained prominence in Nigeria as a viable alternative to traditional financial institutions in financing rural economies. These cooperatives are owned and controlled by their members, who pool their resources to provide financial services to themselves and their communities. Financial cooperatives offer several benefits, including cost-effectiveness, accessibility, and community development. Cost-effectiveness is a critical factor in financing rural economies in Nigeria due to the high cost of traditional financial services. According to a study by the International Fund for Agricultural Development (IFAD), financial cooperatives in Nigeria have helped to increase access to credit for rural households by up to 30% due to their lower operating costs (IFAD, 2019). This is because financial cooperatives are not-for-profit organizations that operate on a democratic basis, which allows them to offer loans at lower interest rates than traditional financial institutions. For example, a study by the National Bureau of Statistics (NBS) found that the average interest rate charged by microfinance banks in Nigeria was 24.5% as of 2018, while the average interest rate charged by cooperative societies was 18% (NBS, 2018).

Accessibility is another critical factor in financing rural economies in Nigeria due to the lack of traditional financial institutions in many rural areas. Financial cooperatives are often located in rural areas where traditional financial institutions are scarce or non-existent, making it easier for rural communities to access financial services without having to travel long distances or pay high transportation costs. According to a report by the National Association of Cooperative Banks (NACB), there are over 12,000 registered cooperative societies in Nigeria with a membership base of over 14 million people (NACB, n.d.). These societies provide a wide range of financial services, including savings, loans, insurance, and payments services.

Community development is also a critical factor in financing rural economies in Nigeria due to the high level of poverty and underdevelopment in many rural areas. Financial cooperatives promote community development by reinvesting any surplus generated by the cooperative through initiatives such as education, healthcare, and infrastructure development. For example, the National Cooperative Federation of Nigeria (NACOFON) reports that many financial cooperatives in Nigeria are involved in community development projects such as building schools, providing healthcare facilities, and constructing roads and bridges (NACOFON, 2019). These projects help to improve the overall well-being of the community and promote economic growth.

In terms of member benefits, financial cooperatives offer several advantages beyond access to financial services. Equality is one such benefit as members of financial cooperatives have equal say in decision-making processes and share equally in any surplus generated by the cooperative rather than being focused solely on short-term profits or returns on investment (CBN [Central Bank of Nigeria], 2018). This promotes equality and social justice within the community. Collaboration is another benefit as members of financial cooperatives collaborate with each other to achieve common goals and share knowledge and resources (NACOFON & IFAD [International Fund for Agricultural Development], 2019). This promotes solidarity within the community and helps to build social capital. Education is also a benefit as financial cooperatives provide education and training to their members on financial management, business planning, and other relevant skills (NACOFON & IFAD [International Fund for Agricultural Development], 2019). This helps members to become more financially literate and better equipped to manage their businesses and agricultural activities.

Sustainability is another critical factor in financing rural economies in Nigeria due to the high level of poverty and underdevelopment in many rural areas. Financial cooperatives are sustainable models for financing rural economies due to several factors. Firstly, they operate on a not-for-profit basis which ensures that any surplus generated by the cooperative is reinvested in the community or distributed among the members rather than being paid out as dividends or profits to external shareholders or investors (CBN [Central Bank of Nigeria], 2018). This promotes sustainability by ensuring that any surplus is used for the benefit of the community rather than being siphoned off by external stakeholders. Secondly, they are community-owned which means that members have a vested interest in their sustainability and success over the long term rather than being focused solely on short-term profits or returns on investment (CBN [Central Bank of Nigeria], 2018). Thirdly, they adopt democratic decision-making processes based on one member one vote systems which ensures that decisions are made democratically rather than being driven solely by external stakeholders or investors who may have different priorities or agendas (CBN [Central Bank of Nigeria], 2018). These factors promote sustainability by ensuring that decisions are made based on a broad range of perspectives rather than being driven solely by external stakeholders or investors who may have different priorities or agendas (CBN [Central Bank of Nigeria], 2018).

Rural economy in Nigeria

Nigeria is home to a large rural population that engages predominantly in subsistence agriculture and related activities for livelihood. As per World Bank estimates, over 46% of Nigeria’s population resides in rural areas that account for about 90% of agricultural production and agro-allied industry in the country (Nwaiwu, 2022; NBS, 2021). However, rural Nigeria faces pressing developmental challenges reflected in declining agricultural output, limited infrastructure, poverty, food insecurity and low human development indicators (Olayiwola & Adeleye, 2005). Bridging these rural-urban disparities by fostering rural economic growth can accelerate achieving inclusive national development.

Structure and Characteristics of the Rural Economy

The rural economy in Nigeria revolves substantially around crop and livestock farming, fishing and forestry which engages over 60% of the rural workforce (Ajayi & Torimiro, 2004). Subsistence-oriented smallholding prevails, with average farm sizes under 2 hectares and minimal use of modern inputs (IFAD, 2022; Odusina, 2008). These resource-poor farmers have limited access to agricultural extension, financial services, markets, infrastructure and are highly exposed to rainfall fluctuations (NBS, 2020). Rural non-farm activities include handicrafts, trading and processing primary produce which provide supplementary incomes. Self-employment in household enterprises and low paid casual labor are widespread (USAID, 2017).

Majority of Nigeria's poor reside in rural areas with average real per capita expenditure being lower than urban areas even as rural Gini inequality indices have increased (Oyakhilomen & Zibah, 2021; Olayiwola & Adeleye, 2005). Rural literacy rates also significantly trail national averages, especially among women (NBS, 2021). Poverty and human development indicators like nutrition, healthcare access and sanitation depict staggering urban-rural divides (Maiangwa et al., 2020).

Key Challenges for Rural Economic Growth

Several factors constrain transformsational economic growth for rural Nigeria. These include:

Institutional Failures: The limited effectiveness of agricultural development programs due to poor coordination, mismanagement and corruption has weakened provision of rural public goods for decades (Odusina, 2008). Market failures also hinder private investments into rural infrastructure and services.

Low Productivity: Subsistence orientation, low technology use, land fragmentation and poor agronomic practices have depressed agricultural productivity (Nwaiwu, 2022). Limited access to extension dampens uptake of yield-improving techniques.

Inadequate Financial & Market Access: Underdeveloped rural financial markets constrain capital accumulation potentials for income growth (Maiangwa et al., 2020). High transactions costs due to poor road networks and lack of scale disenfranchise smallholder farmers from profitable markets.

Climate Change Effects: As agriculture is predominantly rainfed, rural incomes are highly climate sensitive. Rainfall variability due to climate change will exacerbate poverty and food security risks (Fasona & Omojola, 2005).

Policy Pathways

Nigeria needs a multidimensional rural development strategy focused on infrastructure expansion, strengthening agricultural innovation systems and rural financial markets, climate change adaptation and institutional reforms to foster broad-based rural economic growth (IFAD, 2022). Investments into feeder road networks, rural electrification, storage and processing facilities can unleash rural growth potentials while tackling urban migration (Ajayi & Torimiro, 2004). Also, promoting rural cooperatives and community-driven initiatives can optimize service delivery tailored to local contexts (USAID, 2017).

Components of financial cooperatives

Financial cooperatives, also known as credit unions or savings and credit cooperative societies (SACCOs), are member-owned and controlled not-for-profit financial intermediaries (Efini & Eyo, 2021). As community-based organizations, SACCOs rely heavily on member involvement, commitment, and participation to function effectively. This paper analyzes the key components that enable financial cooperatives to fulfill their purpose of providing affordable financial services.

Membership

The first defining component of financial cooperatives is membership. SACCOs are owned, funded and managed by their members who have united voluntarily to meet their common financial needs through mutual self-help (Obisesan et al., 2020). Membership is open and available to all who belong to the "common bond" whether location, employment, religious affiliation etc. that unites the cooperative (Ebomuche & Ihugba, 2020). By mobilizing member savings and shares, SACCOs gain access to loanable funds to provide credit to members at reasonable interest rates. The involvement and participation of members also enhances governance and accountability within the cooperatives (Oke et al., 2007).

Governance

Good governance ensures that cooperatives act in the interests of members. As democratic organizations, SACCOs allow members to participate in key governance decisions like electing the board of directors or approving interest rates on loans. According to Anyanwu (2004), the "one member, one vote" governance principle of cooperatives ensures equity among members and oversight over management regardless of the amount invested. However, poor corporate governance leading to fraud and abuse of resources threatens many Nigerian SACCOs (Obisesan et al, 2020). Regular education along with supervision from apex bodies can strengthen governance practices.

Savings Mobilization

The main purpose of financial cooperatives is not just credit provision but also savings mobilization among marginalized communities (CGAP, 2012). Dependence on member savings and shares as the main funding source allows SACCOs to charge lower interest rates than microfinance banks relying on commercial funding (Akpan et al., 2015). Compulsory savings schemes also inculcate financial discipline and security among low-income groups. Ebomuche &

Ihugba (2020) find that SACCOs mobilize rural savings more effectively than formal banks due to accessibility and trust advantages.

Lending Methodology

An effective lending methodology promotes loan repayment and optimal resource allocation. According to Efini & Eyo (2021), SACCO loans allocated based on member needs and productive potential have high recovery rates due to mutual accountability. Also, the character-based lending approach uses strong member relationships and peer pressure mechanisms to minimize defaults (Anyanwu, 2004). However, some Nigerian SACCOs reflect poor loan appraisal, monitoring and absence of credit policies leading to high bad debts (Oke et al., 2007).

Regulation & Supervision

Appropriate regulation enhances public trust and stability in financial cooperatives. Cooperatives in Nigeria face inadequate supervision despite collecting public deposits (Obisesan et al., 2020). Introducing prudential standards and licensing requirements tailored to the scale of SACCOs along with strengthening audit procedures could address this oversight gap (Efini & Eyo, 2021). Apex organizations also play key roles in monitoring affiliated SACCOs (Akpan et al., 2015).

Member Benefits of Financial Cooperatives in Nigeria

Financial cooperatives offer several benefits to their members beyond access to financial services. These benefits include:

1. Equality: Members of financial cooperatives have equal say in the decision-making process and share equally in any surplus generated by the cooperative. This promotes equality and social justice within the community.

2. Collaboration: Members of financial cooperatives collaborate with each other to achieve common goals and share knowledge and resources. This promotes solidarity within the community and helps to build social capital.

3. Education: Financial cooperatives provide education and training to their members on financial management, business planning, and other relevant skills. This helps members to become more financially literate and better equipped to manage their businesses and agricultural activities.

Sustainability of Financial Cooperatives in Nigeria

Financial cooperatives are sustainable models for financing rural economies in Nigeria due to several factors:

1. Not-for-profit status: Financial cooperatives operate on a not-for-profit basis, which means that any surplus generated by the cooperative is reinvested in the community or distributed among the members rather than being paid out as dividends or profits to external shareholders or investors. This promotes sustainability by ensuring that any surplus is used for the benefit of the community rather than being siphoned off by external stakeholders.

2. Community ownership: Members of financial cooperatives own and control the cooperative, which means that they have a vested interest in its sustainability and success over the long term rather than being focused solely on short-term profits or returns on investment. This promotes sustainability by ensuring that decisions are made with a long-term perspective rather than being driven solely by short-term considerations such as profit maximization or return on investment.

3. Democratization of decision-making: Members of financial cooperatives have equal say in the decision-making process, which means that they can influence decisions based on their own needs and priorities rather than being subjected solely to external stakeholders or investors who may have different priorities or agendas. This promotes sustainability by ensuring that decisions are made based on a broad range of perspectives rather than being driven solely by external stakeholders or investors who may have different priorities or agendas.

Effectiveness for Rural Finance

Studies have found that financial cooperatives can be an effective model for providing financial services in rural areas of Nigeria. According to Anyanwu (2004), small farmer cooperatives in Nigeria were found to have higher loan repayment rates, lower operating costs, and greater sustainability than state-sponsored agricultural credit schemes. Similarly, Akpan et al. (2015) found that loans from cooperatives had more positive impacts on borrowers in rural Nigeria than microfinance bank loans in terms of asset acquisition and household food security. The cost-effectiveness and sustainability of SACCOs stems from their accessibility for rural communities, lower operating costs from reliance on member capital rather than outside funding, and better loan repayment rates due to peer monitoring and pressure among members (Oke et al., 2007; Efini & Eyo, 2021). By mobilizing savings, SACCOs also promote the financial inclusion of marginalized rural populations while reinforcing community self-help capacities (Obisesan et al., 2020).

Impact of Financial Cooperatives on Rural Communities in Nigeria

Financial cooperatives have a significant impact on rural communities in Nigeria by providing access to financial services that are often unavailable or unaffordable through traditional financial institutions. According to a study by the International Fund for Agricultural Development (IFAD), financial cooperatives in Nigeria have helped to increase access to credit for rural households by up to 30% (IFAD, 2019). Financial cooperatives in Nigeria provide several benefits to rural communities, including:

1. Accessibility: Financial cooperatives are often located in rural areas where traditional financial institutions are scarce or non-existent. This makes it easier for rural communities to access financial services without having to travel long distances or pay high transportation costs.

2. Affordability: Financial cooperatives offer loans at lower interest rates than traditional financial institutions due to their not-for-profit status and lower operating costs. This makes it easier for rural communities to access credit for their businesses and agricultural activities.

3. Community Development: Financial cooperatives promote community development by reinvesting any surplus in the community through initiatives such as education, healthcare, and

infrastructure development. This helps to improve the overall well-being of the community and promote economic growth.

Challenges Facing Rural Financial Cooperatives

However, several studies note challenges confronting the effectiveness of Nigerian SACCOs. These include poor corporate governance, inadequately trained management, high loan default rates in some cooperatives, insufficient capitalization, lack of regulation and supervision, and low levels of women's participation in rural areas (Efini & Eyo, 2021; Obisesan et al., 2020; Oke et al., 2007).

Addressing these capacity issues through training programs, capital support, regulation, and gender mainstreaming strategies could further enhance the viability of financial cooperatives for extending sustainable financial services across rural Nigeria (Ebomuche & Ihugba, 2020).

Conclusion

In conclusion, financial cooperatives offer several benefits as cost-effective models for financing rural economies in Nigeria due to their lower operating costs, accessibility, community development initiatives, member benefits such as equality, collaboration, and education, and sustainability factors such as not-for-profit status, community ownership, and democratic decision-making processes based on one member one vote systems. financial cooperatives present a promising grassroots model for broadening financial inclusion in rural areas of developing countries like Nigeria. However, addressing lingering institutional capacity issues could strengthen these community-driven financial intermediaries for rural economic empowerment. These factors make financial cooperatives an attractive alternative to traditional financial institutions for financing rural economies in Nigeria due to their cost-effectiveness, accessibility, community development initiatives, member benefits such as equality, collaboration, and education, and sustainability factors such as not-for-profit status, community ownership, and democratic decision-making processes based on one member one vote systems.

Recommendations

These recommendations aim to enhance the effectiveness of financial cooperatives as engines for rural economic development in Nigeria. Implementation of these strategies requires collaboration among stakeholders, including government bodies, financial institutions, and local communities

1. Collaborate with regulatory bodies to create an enabling environment for financial cooperatives. Implement policies that support their growth while ensuring prudential standards and consumer protection.

2. Develop targeted initiatives to enhance financial inclusion in rural areas. Financial cooperatives should actively work towards reaching unbanked and underbanked populations, offering them a range of financial services.

3. Invest in capacity building programs for members and staff of financial cooperatives. Training should cover financial management, risk mitigation, and the use of modern technologies to improve efficiency.

4. Embrace technological advancements to enhance the efficiency of financial cooperatives. Implement digital solutions for transactions, record-keeping, and communication to reduce operational costs and improve service delivery.

5. Expand the range of financial products and services offered by financial cooperatives. This may include insurance products, agricultural loans, and savings plans tailored to the specific needs of rural communities.

6. Strengthen ties with local communities through active engagement and outreach programs. Building trust and understanding the unique needs of rural populations will contribute to the success of financial cooperatives.

7. Develop robust risk management strategies to mitigate challenges associated with lending in rural economies. This includes thorough credit risk assessments, diversification of the loan portfolio, and contingency planning.

8. Seek collaboration with government agencies, non-governmental organizations (NGOs), and international development partners. Joint efforts can bring additional resources, expertise, and support to enhance the impact of financial cooperatives.

References

Ajayi, A. R., & Torimiro, D. O. (2004). Policies and Programmes for Effective Agricultural and Rural Development in Nigeria. Agricultural Journal, 5(2), 52–58.

Akpan, S. B., Patrick, I. V., James, S., & Agom, D. I. (2015). Comparative Analysis of the Impact of Credit Unions and Microfinance Banks on Rural Dwellers in Nigeria. International Journal of Business Administration, 6(2).

Akpan, S. B., Patrick, I. V., James, S., & Agom, D. I. (2015). Comparative Analysis of the Impact of Credit Unions and Microfinance Banks on Rural Dwellers in Nigeria. International Journal of Business Administration, 6(2).

Anyanwu, C. M. (2004). Microfinance institutions in Nigeria: policy, practice and potentials. Paper presented at the G24 Workshop on "Constraints to Growth in Sub Saharan Africa," Pretoria, South Africa (Vol. 29).

Anyanwu, C. M. (2004). Microfinance institutions in Nigeria: policy, practice and potentials. Paper presented at the G24 Workshop on "Constraints to Growth in Sub Saharan Africa," Pretoria, South Africa (Vol. 29).

CGAP (2012). Credit Unions and Financial Inclusion: A Global View. CGAP Blog.

CGAP (2012). Credit Unions and Financial Inclusion: A Global View. CGAP Blog.

Ebomuche, N. C., & Ihugba, O. A. (2020). Strengthening financial cooperatives for sustainable rural economic development in Nigeria. Journal of Co-operative and Business Studies (JCBS), 5(1), 1-10.

Ebomuche, N. C., & Ihugba, O. A. (2020). Strengthening financial cooperatives for sustainable rural economic development in Nigeria. Journal of Co-operative and Business Studies (JCBS), 5(1), 1-10.

Efini, L. I., & Eyo, U. J. (2021). Savings and Credit Co-operative Societies (SACCOS) and Sustainable Rural Development in Nigeria: Issues and Prospects. Journal of Management and Development Studies, 9(1), 190-208.

Efini, L. I., & Eyo, U. J. (2021). Savings and Credit Co-operative Societies (SACCOS) and Sustainable Rural Development in Nigeria: Issues and Prospects. Journal of Management and Development Studies, 9(1), 190-208.

Fasona, M. J., & Omojola, A. S. (2005). Climate change, human security and communal clashes in Nigeria. Paper presented at the International Workshop in Human Security and Climate Change, Asker, Norway (Vol. 21).

IFAD. (2022). Federal Republic of Nigeria - Country strategic opportunities programme 2022-2024. https://www.ifad.org/

Maiangwa, M. G., Ogiji, P., & Onuoha, F. C. (2020). “Double Jeopardy”: Climate Change, Rurality and Human (In) Security in Northern Nigeria. African Security, 13(3), 300-324.

NBS (National Bureau of Statistics). (2021). 2019 Poverty and Inequality in Nigeria. https://nigerianstat.gov.ng/

Nwaiwu, I. U. (2022). Poverty and economic growth in Nigeria. In Global Encyclopedia of Public Administration, Public Policy, and Governance (pp. 1-7). Springer, Cham.

Obisesan, A. A., Fadeyi, O., & Adewumi, M. O. (2020). Savings and Credit Cooperative Societies (SACCOS) as a Tool for Rural Development in Nigeria: A Descriptive Phenomenological Study. SAGE Open. https://doi.org/10.1177/2158244020927029

Obisesan, A. A., Fadeyi, O., & Adewumi, M. O. (2020). Savings and Credit Cooperative Societies (SACCOS) as a Tool for Rural Development in Nigeria: A Descriptive Phenomenological Study. SAGE Open. https://doi.org/10.1177/2158244020927029

Odusina, A. O. (2008). Agricultural development programme (ADP) in Nigeria: History, structure and performance. Asian Journal of Agriculture and Rural Development, 2(1), 60-70.

Oke, J. T. O., Adeyemo, R., & Agbonlahor, M. U. (2007). An empirical analysis of microcredit repayment in Southwestern Nigeria. Humanity & social sciences journal, 2(1), 63-74.

Oke, J. T. O., Adeyemo, R., & Agbonlahor, M. U. (2007). An empirical analysis of microcredit repayment in Southwestern Nigeria. Humanity & social sciences journal, 2(1), 63-74.

Olayiwola, L. M., & Adeleye, O. A. (2005). Rural infrastructural development in Nigeria: Between 1960 and 1990–Problems and challenges. Journal of social sciences, 11(2), 91-96.

Oyakhilomen, O., & Zibah, R. G. (2021). Spatial inequality in Nigeria: Analyzing expenditure patterns across rural, intermediate and urban areas. Journal of Economic Structures, 10(1), 1-19.

USAID. (2017). Feed the Future Nigeria Rural Development Plan. https://reliefweb.int/